

# Implant Process Characterization With Modern In-line Metrologies

## *July 16, 2009 Junction Technology Group, Semicon West 2009 Program*



- Introduction to Semilab
- Implant metrology
  - Dose
  - Implant depth
  - Junction depth
  - Sheet resistance
  - Doping profiles
  - Activated surface dopant density
- Will illustrate techniques that provide above parameters
- Summary

# **(9)** SEMILAB Semilab Background

- We have brought several powerful techniques into the Semilab family
- We offer multiple products for monitoring the implant/anneal processes
- The specific customer needs will determine the best fit.



# **SEMILAB** The Ion Implant Process

- Deposit photo-resist
- Expose / develop
- Perform blanket implant on product wafers and often monitor wafers also
- Measure implant dose, depth
- Anneal, to activate dopant
- Measure sheet resistance, junction depth, activation, profiles

# **SEMILAB** Monitoring Before Anneal

- Provides SPC monitoring of implanters and implantation process
  - Real-time monitoring, immediate feedback
- Measures
  - Dose
  - Implant Depth
- Assumes damage = f(dose)

# *Q* SEMILAB Monitoring After Anneal

- The dopant species is activated by the anneal
- The *activated dopant* affects device performance
- Measure
  - Sheet resistance
  - Junction depth
  - Profiles

# *(P)* **SEMILAB Obsolete or Timeless?**

- Before anneal
  - Therma-Wave (traditional approach)
    - Measures in TW units, dependent on energy and dose
    - Actually measures the damage caused by the implant process
- After anneal
  - 4-Point Probe
    - Measures sheet resistance (ohms/square)





**QCS ICT-300** 

### **Non-Contact Fast Mapping Metrology**



SEMILAB

### **Based on ac-SPV Method**

- ✓ Both as-implanted and annealed wafers
- ✓ Energy range: 0.5keV to 3.0MeV
- ✓ Dose range: 1E10cm<sup>-2</sup> to 5E15cm<sup>-2</sup>
- ✓ All common species: B, P, As, BF₂,F, He, In, etc.
- ✓ Repeatability: < 1% (for low/medium dose < 0.5%)</p>



### What is Measured?

### Implanted Silicon

Implant dose, energy, angle

$$V_{SPV} = \frac{I_{eh}kT}{q^2 n_i} \frac{1}{\gamma N_d \Delta R}$$

 $N_d$  – implant induced defect density

 $\Delta R$  -- implant region width

 $\gamma$  -- capture probability

### Annealed Silicon

Average doping density

$$V_{SPV} \propto \frac{1}{\omega} I_{eh} \left( \frac{kT \ln (N_{sc} / n_i)}{qN_{sc}} \right)^{1/2}$$

 $f N_{SC}$  – doping concentration  $f I_{eh}$  – light intensity  $\omega$  -- light modulation frequency

## **ICT-300 Implant Monitoring Capabilities**

### **Implanter Micro-Uniformity Detection**





SEMILAB

Implant system A B 5keV 1E15

Implant system B

P 45keV 8E14

Implant system C As 3keV 4E15

### Multi-Implanter SPC: B 31keV 8e12





Implant system D B 5keV 1E15

Implant system E B 2keV 3E15

Implant system F B 0.65keV 1E15

### **Correlation to Final Electric Test**

Implant: As<sup>75</sup> 2e12 cm<sup>-2</sup> 300keV



as-implanted



## **SEMILAB** SDI measurement technique and V<sub>sb</sub> corrections



$$NSD = N_A = \frac{2 (Vsb - \frac{kT}{q})}{q \cdot \varepsilon} \cdot C_D^{2}$$

#### COCOS (ref. Wilson [1])

$$V_{CPD}(dark) = V_{OX} + V_{sb}(+const)$$
  
 $V_{CPD}(light) = V_{OX}(+const)$   
 $V_{sb} = V_{CPD}(dark) - V_{CPD}(light)$ 

ac-SPV (ref. Nakhmanson [2])

$$C_{D} = \frac{const \cdot Ieff}{\varpi \cdot V_{SPV}}$$

M. Wilson et al., ASTM STP 1382, (1999)
R. Nakhmanson, Solid State Electron. 18, 617 (1975)

Slide 090716001



#### Measurement depth = Space Charge Region depth W

**Calibration:** NSD versus surface barrier,  $V_{SB}$ , dependence is measured and implant specific calibration is introduced.

 $\rightarrow$  Measured data corrected for variations in surface barrier, V<sub>SB</sub>.



11

## **SEMILAB** NSD Ion implant measurement data



#### Outstanding measurement Precision (P/T)

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

25keV P implant – correlation vs dose

#### Includes full wafer mapping capability

![](_page_11_Figure_5.jpeg)

500keV P implant Dose: 5E12 (0, 0) angle

- NSD provides excellent day-to-day stability and P/T capability, accounting for variations of wafer surface state.
- Dose measurement range : 1x10<sup>10</sup> to 1x10<sup>14</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>; plus higher doses with junction
- Software automatically corrects for light reflectivity due to oxide films.

# **Y** SEMILAB MBIR USJ Data Analysis

- Refractive index of the doped layer was calculated using Drude model, and rectangular profile of the concentration.
- Model fit was performed with three varied parameters: doped layer thickness, activated carrier concentration and carrier mobility.
- ♦ Wavenumber range used : 600-7000 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

# **SEMILAB** MBIR Correlation With Reference Methods

### Sheet Resistance

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

• MBIR  $1/R_s$  is calculated as the product of the mobility  $\mu$  and activated dopant dose (times the electron charge): • $1/R_s$ =e $\mu$ Dose

### **Doped Layer Thickness**

![](_page_13_Figure_5.jpeg)

## Activated Dopant Dose

![](_page_13_Figure_7.jpeg)

## **SEMILAB** Principle of JPV Sheet Resistance Measurements

- Junction Photovoltage-based sheet resistance measurement: a method for non-contact implant monitoring with high-resolution mapping capability.
- Basic principle:
  - e<sup>-</sup> and hole generation by chopped LED light
  - This causes change in junction voltage
  - Change spreads laterally, and the attenuation depends on sheet resistance
  - Change of the potential is picked up by capacitive sensors
  - Signal depends strongly on LED chopping frequency (f)
  - $R_{s'} C_d$  and  $R_d (J_{leak})$  are calculated by fitting the theoretical JPV signal

![](_page_14_Figure_9.jpeg)

## **SEMILAB** JPV Sheet Resistance Measurements

### Applications and specifications

- Implant process control (R<sub>s</sub> depends on dose and energy) on various implant types: USJ, deep implant, pocket implant, plasma immersion implant, etc.
- Dose range: >5E11cm<sup>-2</sup>
- Species: B, P, As, BF<sub>2</sub>, etc.

# Sheet Resistance and Leakage Current Mapping

- Visualization of non-uniformities, implanter errors (striping, etc.) which are not detectable by low resolution methods
- Detects variations and inhomogeneities smaller than 1 % of the wafer average
- Works on oxidized and non-oxidized wafers

![](_page_15_Figure_9.jpeg)

# **SEMILAB** Principle of Carrier Illumination Technology

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Carrier Illumination Technology**

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### SEMILAB **Contact Probing Metrology**

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

# **SEMILAB** Contact Probing Metrology

#### Case Study-furnace issue

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

PID CV curve comparison of three oxidation furnaces.

A clear distinction between

furnace 403,404 and 406 can be seen.

Furnace 406 shows a clear increase in carrier density

by about 50% close to the surface.

### **Expected Activation: Nsurf**

![](_page_19_Figure_9.jpeg)

SOI

![](_page_19_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_12.jpeg)

\*Ref: John Borland, 2006 ©2009 Semilab ALL RIGHTS RESERVED **SEMILAB** Ion Implant Matrix-Sensitivity

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Slide 090716001

©2009 Semilab ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Ion Implant Metrology Range

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

22

# **SEMILAB** Case Study-AVS Insight '09

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

- We have brought several powerful techniques into the Semilab family
- We offer multiple products for monitoring the implant/anneal processes
- We look forward to discussion of your needs to find the best fit.